

On Spectra of Starlike Graphs

I. K. Redchuk ^{*}

^{*} Institute of Mathematics of NAS of Ukraine,
Tereschenkivska str., 3, 01601, Kiev, Ukraine
E-mail: redchuk@mail.ru

In the present paper we show that the spectrum of an arbitrary starlike graph can be completely determined via separating functions ρ_t (see [1–3]). This fact helps to get in an easy way several results for the spectra of starlike graphs.

1 Separating functions and spectra of starlike graphs

Let G be a simple nonoriented graph, G_v is the set of vertices and G_e is the set of edges of G ($G_e \subset G_v \times G_v$). Denote $M(g) = \{h \in G_v \mid (h, g) \in G_e\}$. A vertex $g \in G_v$ is called a *branching vertex* if $|M(g)| \geq 3$. Graph G is *starlike* if G is a tree and G has at most one branching vertex. For a starlike graph G the set G_v is

$$G_v = B_0 \sqcup B_1 \sqcup B_2 \sqcup \dots \sqcup B_s,$$

where $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$, $i, j = \overline{0, s}$, $B_0 = \{g_0\}$, here g_0 is a branching vertex in G (if it exists) and $g, h \in B_i$ for some i iff the path of minimal length beginning in g and ending in h does not contain g_0 . The sets B_i are *branches* of the graph G . If $|B_i| = n_i$ then we denote the corresponding starlike graph by $S_{s; n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s}$ or simply $S_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s}$.

The *spectrum* $\sigma(G)$ of a graph G is a spectrum of its incidence matrix. Since the last is symmetrical, the spectrum of any graph is real. Denote $\sigma^+(G) = \{\lambda \in \sigma(G) \mid \lambda > 0\}$, $\sigma_0(G) = \sigma(G) \setminus \{0\}$. The number $r = \max\{\sigma(G)\}$ is called the index of G . It is known (see, for instance, [4]), that the index of an arbitrary connected graph G is a simple eigenvalue, and corresponding eigenspace is spanned by a vector with positive coordinates. Such vector is called *the principal eigenvector of a graph G* .

Given $t > 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let us define a numerical function ρ_t :

$$\rho_t(0) = 0, \quad \rho_t(n+1) = t / (t - \rho_t(n)) \quad (1)$$

At that, if $t = \rho_t(n)$ for some t and n , then $\rho_t(n+1)$ is not defined; in this case we write $\rho_t(n+1) = \infty$ and set $\rho_t(n+2) = 0$. Given an integral vector $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s)$, $n_i \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\rho_t(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s) = \sum_{i=1}^s \rho_t(n_i). \quad (2)$$

Note that in [3] functions ρ_t were defined by formulas (1),(2) for $t \geq 1$.

The connection between separating functions ρ_t and indices of starlike graphs was established in [3]. Further we prove more general statement.

Define for $t > 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the sequence $\{v_n(t)\}$:

$$v_0(t) = 0, \quad v_1(t) = 1, \quad v_{n+2}(t) = \sqrt{t}v_{n+1}(t) - v_n(t). \quad (3)$$

It easy to check (see [3]) that for $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$

$$\rho_t(n) = \sqrt{t} \frac{v_n(t)}{v_{n+1}(t)}. \quad (4)$$

Theorem 1. *Let $S_{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s}$ be a starlike graph: $G_v = B_0 \sqcup B_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup B_s$, $B_k = \{g_1^k, \dots, g_{n_k}^k\}$, $k = \overline{1, s}$, $B_0 = \{g_0\}$, and the vertices in branches B_k are numbered in the following way: $g_i^k \in M(g_{i+1}^k)$, $i = \overline{1, n_k - 1}$, $g_{n_k}^k \in M(g_0)$.*

1. *For any $t = \lambda^2$, such that $\lambda \in \sigma^+(G)$, either $t = \rho_t(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s)$ or there exist such k, l , $k \neq l$, $1 \leq k, l \leq s$ that $t = \rho_t(n_k - 1) = \rho_t(n_l - 1)$.*
2. *Conversely: if either $\rho_t(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s) = t$ or there exist such k, l , $k \neq l$, $1 \leq k, l \leq s$ that $\rho_t(n_k - 1) = \rho_t(n_l - 1) = t$, then $\sqrt{t} \in \sigma^+(G)$.*
3. *The index of a graph G equals $\sqrt{t_{\max}}$, where $t_{\max}$ is the maximal root of the equation $\rho_t(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s) = t$ in t .*
4. *The components $y_m^k = y(g_m^k)$, $y_0 = y(g_0)$ of the principal eigenvector y of a graph G can be calculated (up to common nonzero multiplier) by the formulas*

$$y_0 = \sqrt{t}, \quad y_m^k = t^{(m-n_k)/2} \cdot \prod_{i=m}^{n_k} \rho_t(i), \quad m = \overline{1, n_k}, \quad 1 \leq k \leq s.$$

Proof.

1. Let $\lambda = \sqrt{t} \in \sigma^+(G)$, x is the corresponding eigenvector, $x_m^k = x(g_m^k)$, $x_0 = x(g_0)$. Then the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x_1^k &= x_2^k, \\ \lambda x_2^k &= x_1^k + x_3^k, \\ \lambda x_3^k &= x_2^k + x_4^k, \\ &\dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \\ \lambda x_{n_k}^k &= x_{n_k-1}^k + x_0, \quad k = \overline{1, s} \\ \lambda x_0 &= x_{n_1}^1 + \dots + x_{n_s}^s \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Then for all $k = \overline{1, s}$

$$\begin{aligned} x_2^k &= \lambda x_1^k, \\ x_{i+2}^k &= \lambda x_{i+1}^k - x_i^k, \quad i = \overline{1, n_k - 2}, \\ x_0 &= \lambda x_{n_k}^k - x_{n_k-1}^k, \end{aligned}$$

hence we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^k &= v_i(t)x_1, \quad i = \overline{2, n_k} \\ x_0 &= v_{n_k+1}(t)x_1, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

here $v_n(t)$ is the sequence defined in (3).

If $v_{n_k+1}(t) \neq 0$ for all k , then from (6) we have $x_{n_k}^k = \frac{v_{n_k}(t)}{v_{n_k+1}(t)}x_0$. Then, multiplying the last equality of the system (5) by $\lambda \neq 0$, we obtain

$$\lambda^2 x_0 = \sum_{k=1}^s \frac{\lambda v_{n_k}}{v_{n_k+1}} x_0. \quad (7)$$

Equalities (6) imply $x_i^k = \frac{v_i(t)}{v_{n_k+1}(t)}x_0$, $i = \overline{1, n_k}$, $k = \overline{1, s}$. Then $x_0 \neq 0$, otherwise all components of eigenvector would vanish. Reducing the both parts of equality (7) by x_0 and keeping in mind (4), we obtain

$$t = \sum_{k=1}^s \rho_t(n_k) = \rho(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s).$$

If $v_{n_k+1}(t) = 0$ for some k then (4) implies $\rho_t(n_k) = \infty$, and so $t = \rho_t(n_k - 1)$. Then we obtain $x_0 = 0$ from (6). Suppose $\rho_t(n_j - 1) \neq t$ for all other $j \neq k$, $1 \leq j \leq s$. Then $x_i^j = \frac{v_i(t)}{v_{n_j+1}(t)}x_0 = 0$ for all $j \neq k$, $i = \overline{1, n_j}$, and due to the last equality from (5) we have $x_{n_k}^k = 0$, so $x_1^k = \frac{x_{n_k}^k}{v_{n_k}(t)} = 0$ and, consequently, $x_i^k = 0$ for all $i = \overline{1, n_k}$. As long as all components of an eigenvector cannot vanish, we come to contradiction; so there can be found such $l \neq k$, that $\rho_t(n_l - 1) = t$.

2. Let t be such number that $\rho_t(n_k - 1) = \rho_t(n_l - 1) = t$, $k \neq l$ for some k , i. e. $\rho_t(n_k) = \rho_t(n_l) = \infty$. Put $x_0 = x_i^j = 0$ for all such $j = \overline{1, s}$ that $j \neq k$ and $j \neq l$, $x_i^k = v_{n_k-i+1}(t)$, $x_h^l = -v_{n_l-h+1}(t)$, $i = \overline{1, n_k}$, $h = \overline{1, n_l}$. It is easy to check that for $\lambda = \sqrt{t}$ the vector x , defined in such a way, satisfies the equalities (5), so $\lambda \in \sigma^+(G)$.

Now let $\rho_t(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s) = t$. Then $v_{n_k+1}(t) \neq 0$ for all $k = \overline{1, s}$. Define in this case the eigenvector x as follows: $x_0 = 1$, $x_i^k = \frac{v_i(t)}{v_{n_k+1}(t)}$. Easily, the equalities (5) are true again, so $\lambda = \sqrt{t} \in \sigma^+(G)$.

3. Let r be the index of a graph G , $t = r^2$. Then, by the statement in item 1, either $\rho_t(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s) = t$ or there exist such $k, l, k \neq l$ that $\rho_t(n_k - 1) = \rho_t(n_l - 1) = t$. The second case is impossible since the component of the eigenvector in the vertex g_0 is nonzero (formulas (6)).

4. Let $r = \sqrt{t}$ be the index and y be the principal eigenvector of G . Since all its components are nonzero, for any $k = \overline{1, s}$ we have $v_{n_k+1} \neq 0$, and so, due to (7) and putting $y_0 = r$, we obtain

$$y_m^k = r \frac{v_m(t)}{v_{n_k+1}(t)} = \frac{1}{r^{n_k-m}} \prod_{i=m}^{n_k} r \frac{v_i(t)}{v_{i+1}(t)} = \frac{1}{t^{(n_k-m)/2}} \prod_{i=m}^{n_k} \rho_t(i).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

2 Integral starlike graphs

A graph G is called *integral* if it has integral spectrum. The problem of description of integral graphs was posed in [5]. At the present time all integral graphs are obtained only for several special types of graphs, for example cubic [6] or with restriction on degrees of vertices of a graph [7]. In [8] all integral trees with diameter (i. e. the longest of the minimal paths between any two vertices) not exceeding 3 are described. For trees with greater diameters it were obtained several (sometimes infinite) classes, see, for instance, [9, 10]. Further, using theorem 1 we will easily obtain all starlike integral graphs (it is one of the results of the work [11]).

Proposition 2. [2] *Given $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $t \geq 4$, the list of all integral vectors $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s)$ satisfying the equation $\rho_t(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s) = t$ is*

$$\underbrace{(1, 1, \dots, 1)}_t, \quad \underbrace{(2, 2, \dots, 2)}_{t-1}, \quad \underbrace{(1, 3, 3, \dots, 3)}_{t-1}, \quad \underbrace{(1, 2, 5, 5, \dots, 5)}_{t-1}. \quad (8)$$

It is known (see [4]) that all graphs with index $r < 2$ (i. e. Dynkin graphs) are not integral, except graphs A_1 (one vertex) and A_2 (two vertices and an edge between them). Theorem 1 and Proposition 2 imply that a starlike graph has integral index $r \geq 2$ if and only if corresponding integral vector $(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s)$ is contained in the list (8) and $t = r^2$.

Proposition 3. [4] *If a graph G is bipartite and $\lambda \in \sigma(G)$ then $-\lambda \in \sigma(G)$.*

Using Theorem 1 and Proposition 3, let us check what are remaining elements of spectra of graphs from the list (8) for $t \geq 4$.

1. Graph $S_{t; 1,1,\dots,1}$. The equation $\rho_\tau(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s) = \tau$ in this case has the form $t \cdot 1 = \tau$, therefore there are no new values besides $\sqrt{t}$. The equation $\rho_\tau(0) = \tau$ has no solutions for $\tau > 0$. Thus, by Theorem 1

$$\sigma_0(S_{t; 1,1,\dots,1}) = \{\sqrt{t}, -\sqrt{t}\}.$$

2. Graph $S_{t-1; 2,2,\dots,2}$. The equation $\rho_\tau(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_s) = \tau$ has the form $(t-1) \cdot \tau / (\tau-1) = \tau$, and there are no new values besides $\sqrt{t}$ again. The equation $\rho_\tau(1) = \tau$ has a solution $\tau = 1$; there are more than one branch with $n_k = 2$. Consequently,

$$\sigma_0(S_{t-1; 2,2,\dots,2}) = \{\sqrt{t}, -\sqrt{t}, 1, -1\}.$$

3. Graph $S_{t-1; 1,3,3,\dots,3}$. The equation $\rho_\tau(2) = \tau$ has a solution $\tau = 2$ and are more than one branch with $n_k = 3$. Therefore,

$$\sqrt{2} \in \sigma_0(S_{t-1; 1,3,3,\dots,3}).$$

4. Graph $S_{t-1; 1,2,5,5,\dots,5}$. The equation $\rho_\tau(4) = \tau$ has a solution $\tau = 3$ and there are more than one branch with $n_k = 5$ when $t > 4$. If $t = 4$ then the equation $\rho_\tau(1, 2, 5) = \tau$ has the form $1 + \frac{\tau}{\tau-1} + \frac{\tau^2-3\tau+1}{(\tau-1)(\tau-3)} = \tau$, which root is $\tau = (\sqrt{5} + 3)/2 = ((\sqrt{5} + 1)/2)^2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{3} &\in \sigma_0(S_{t-1; 1,2,5,5,\dots,5}) \text{ for } t > 4, \\ (\sqrt{5} + 1)/2 &\in \sigma_0(S_{3; 1,2,5}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we proved the following

Theorem 4. [11]. *All integral starlike graphs are A_1 , $S_{t; 1,1,\dots,1}$ and $S_{t-1; 2,2,\dots,2}$, where $t = r^2$ for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$.*

References

1. *L. A. Nazarova, A. V. Roiter*. Norm of a relation, separating functions and representations of marked quivers. *Ukr. Math. Jour.*, 54 (2002), N. 6., P. 18-54.
2. *I. K. Redchuk, A. V. Roiter*. Singular locally scalar representations of quivers in Hilbert spaces and separating functions. *Ukr. Math. Jour.*, 56 (2004), N. 6., P. 796-809.
3. *I. K. Redchuk*. Separating functions, spectral graph theory and locally scalar representations in Hilbert spaces. *Ukr. Math. Jour.*, 58 (2006), N 1., P. 36-46.
4. *D. Cvetkovic, M. Doob, H. Sachs*. Spectra of graphs – New York: Academic press, 1979 – 368 pp.
5. *F. Harary, A. J. Schwenk*. Which graphs have integral spectra? In: *Graphs and Combinatorics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin – Heidelberg – New York, 1974, 45-51.
6. *F. C. Bussemaker, D. Cvetkovic*. There are exactly 13 connected, cubic, integral graphs. *Univ. Beograd Publ. Elektotehn. Fak., Ser. Mat. Fiz.*, No. 544 - No. 576 (1976), 43-48.
7. *D. Cvetkovic, I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić*. Conjugated molecules having integrtal graph specrta. *Chem Phys. Letters* 29 (1974), 65-68.
8. *M. Capobianco, S. Maurer, D. McCarthy, J. Molluzzo*. A collection of open problems. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* (1980). 582-583.
9. *X. L. Li, L. G. Wang*. Integral trees – a survey, *Chinese J. Eng. Math.* 17 (2000), 91-93, 96.
10. *L. G. Wang, X. L. Li*. Integral trees with diameter 5 and 6, *Discrete Math.*, 297 (2005), 128-143.
11. *M. Watanabe, A. J. Schwenk*. Integral starlike trees. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A* 28 (1979), no. 1, 120–128.